

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/963,297	09/25/2001	Kyungtae Han	51876P272	1301	
8791	7590 02/16/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	SOKOLOFF TAYLO	KIM, KEVIN			
12400 WILS SEVENTH	SHIRE BOULEVARD FLOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	ES, CA 90025-1030		2638		
			DATE MAILED: 02/16/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/963,297	HAN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kevin Y. Kim	2638				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	1 December 2005					
		his action is non-final.					
3)							
/—	closed in accordance with the practice unde	·	• •				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,8,12 and 13</u> is/are rejected.						
	☐ Claim(s) <u>7,0,72 and 70</u> is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) <u>2-7,9-11</u> is/are objected to.						
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner					
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		hy the Everniner				
.0)	Applicant may not request that any objection to	• •	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con-		, · ·	ED 1 121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· ·					
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	Examinor. Note the dident	or of the second	10 102.			
_	·	ina maia di Cala OSII OO	0.440(.) (1) (0)				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	9 119(a)-(d) or (t).				
a)ı	/_						
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>						
				Ctoro			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	n received in this National	Stage			
* 0	application from the International Bur see the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	at received				
	and attached detailed office action for a	not of the certified copies 110	t roosived.				
Attachmen	Ne)						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intension	Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/r No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/963,297 Page 2

Art Unit: 2638

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Guey et al (US 6, 876,645).

Guey et al discloses an apparatus, see Fig. 4, comprising;

an internal oscillating unit (not illustrated) for generating an internal multicarrier  $(e^{-j2\pi(f0+f1)t}, e^{-j2\pi(f0+f2)t}, e^{-j2\pi(f0+f3)t}),$ 

a plurality of frequency transition units (40-1, 40-2, 40-3) for respectively down converting the internal multicarrier and moving it to frequency of "0" as a frequency center (in other words, the internal multicarrier is downconverted to baseband), and

a plurality of filtering units (42-1, 42-2, 42-3) for individually filtering a respective carrier through a low frequency pass band and for providing the respective carrier as an input to a rake receiver (46-1, 46-2, 46-3), wherein

the apparatus operates to separate carriers of a multicarrier wireless communication system and operates to separate carriers from a received external multicarrier signal. See col. 7, lines 57-67.

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guey et al, as applied to claim 1 above.

Guey et al discloses all the subject matter claimed except for the number of "frequency transition units," i.e., the frequency downconverters (102A, 102B, 102C). Guey et al shows three units because the number of carriers in the transmitted multi-carrier is three. In other words, that the number of the frequency downconverters depends on the number of carriers used in the transmission and the number of carriers to use is an obvious matter of design choice. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to use six frequency downconverters for demodulation of a multicarrer signal having six carriers. In addition, the power, i.e., "electricity" as applicant terms, of each frequency is the same. See col. 6, lines 11-12.

5. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guey et al. in view of Maddiotto et al (US 6,690,735 cited previously)

Guey et al discloses a method, comprising;

Separating carriers of a multicarrier receiver system in a receiver of a wireless communication 3X (see col. 1, lines 32-36) by;

using the existing 1X method for one carrier  $(f_1)$ ,

performing a down-conversion once more when the one carrier neighboring two carriers  $(f_2, f_3)$  are separated, to move the one carrier value to a center and to perform a separation (see col. 7, lines 57-67), in order to separate the carriers in the 3X receiver (see the filters 42-1, 42-2,42-3) and gain information (see "To Decoder") from the carriers.

Guey et al is silent on whether the separation is executed after a quantization.

Maddiotto et al discloses digital down converters (DDC) for digitally separating a

multicarrier into its component sub-carriers after quantization, see Fig. 10, because it is

known in the art that digital down converters offer greater flexibility and higher

performance at least in terms of attenuation and selectivity.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to quantize the received multicarrier signal in the wireless receiver system of Guey et al for the purpose of using the more flexible and higher performing digital down-converter for frequency down conversion.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2-7, 9-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 09/963,297 Page 5

Art Unit: 2638

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Y. Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM --5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Vanderpuye can be reached on 571-272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**KEVIN KIM PATENT EXAMINER** 

Mercii / Cein